### DEPARTMENT OF MINES

SOUTH AUSTRALIA

11

: ;

Π k.d

Π

-----

m . Smrti

11

### GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

GEOPHYSICS DIVISION

RESISTIVITY SURVEY NEAR STENHOUSE BAY,

by :--

YORKE PENINSULA.

14th April, 1977.

77/4**3** 5869 672/75 Rept.Bk.No. G.S. No.

No.

D.M.

GEOPHYSICAL SERVICES SECTION.

MATHEMATICAL GEOPHYSICIST,

G. PILKINGTON,

### CONTENTS

1 . 1

ABSTRACT INTRODUCTION SURVEY METHOD SURVEY STATISTICS INTERPRETATION RECOMMENDATIONS REFERENCES

TABLE I - Number of spreads by line. TABLE II - Number of spreads by electrode separation. TABLE III - Interpreted models

APPENDIX - Showing typical Schlumberger electrical resistivity depth sounding curves obtained from spreads 2A, 2F, 5B, 6B, 6C, 6F, 6I, 6M, 6Q, X, 7A and 7E.

### PLANS

Figure Drawing No.

1

3

77-175

Stenhouse Bay Resist- 1:50 000 ivity Survey:Resistivity Probe Locations and Contours for 20 m depth.

2 77–176

Stenhouse Bay Resist- 1:25 000 ivity Survey:Interpreted Section, Lines 1, 2, 3, 4, 5.

77-177 Stenhouse Bay Resist- 1:50 000 ivity Survey: Interpreted Section, Lines 6 and 7.

Title

1

1

2

3

3

5

6

Scale

#### DEPARTMENT OF MINES SOUTH AUSTRALIA

Rept.B	k.No.	77/43
G.S.	No.	5869
<b>D</b> .M.	No	672/75

#### RESISTIVITY SURVEY NEAR STENHOUSE BAY, YORKE PENINSULA

#### ABSTRACT

1.

1. 1

53 vertical electrical soundings were conducted as an aid in finding a reliable supply of drinking water for proposed tourist developments near Stenhouse Bay.

Nost of the area investigated was found to have very saline groundwater. Possible exceptions are a small area of 2 km<sup>2</sup> under a hill near Inneston and a larger area of about 40 km<sup>2</sup> situated several kilometres to the northeast of Marion Bay.

Drilling to obtain water samples is recommended in these locations.

### INTRODUCTION

The region of Yorke Peninsula near Stenhouse Bay (see Fig. 1), which contains a large percentage of natural bushland and saltlakes, has been declared a National Parkland. The development of this area as a tourist resort is hampered by a lack of fresh groundwater.

Following a request by the Hydrogeological Section of the S.A. Department of Mines, the Geophysical Services Section undertook an electrical resistivity survey in selected parts of the region to assess the prospects for low salinity groundwater occurrences. The requirements were to locate and evaluate the thickness of zones of freshwater. The ground surface varies from calcretecovered aeolianite to claypans and saltlakes, some dry and some containing water with halite or gypsum. Most areas have a cover of thin sandy soil on sheet calcrete. Cliffs along the coast show the aeolianite to be, in the main, loosely consolidated between bands of sheet calcrete; the layers being typically aeolianite 4 m and calcrete 1 m thick. Some cliffs expose ten or more calcrete layers. Another feature is the frequent outcropping of igneous rocks close to sea-level along the coast, but no such outcrops could be seen inland.

-2-

-

A water sampling survey from all available bores and wells was conducted both prior to and concurrently with this survey by the Hydrogeological Section, and these data, together with further data from the Mines Department Bore Records, were used to correlate the resistivity results with water salinities.

### SURVEY METHOD

The Schlumberger vertical electrical sounding system was used for all the resistivity probes. This system employs four steel electrodes placed symmetrically about a centre point and along a straight line such that the two inner electrodes are separated by not more than one-fifth of the separation of the two outer electrodes. A McPhar type P660 low frequency A.C. voltmeter was used with the innor pair of electrodes to measure the voltage produced at the centre of the spread by the current introduced into the ground by a Geoscience low-power transmitter (at 3 Hertz) at the outer electrodes. The power source consisted of a 12 volt lead-acid battery and a 400 Hertz inverter.

Distances were measured with cloth tape out to 100 m and pedometers or pacing from thereon.

### SURVEY STATISTICS

The survey was conducted from a camp set up near Inneston from the 30th August, 1976 to the 10th September, 1976.

A total of 18.56 km of spread was covered at 53 sites along seven lines as shown in Fig. 1, with statistics as in Tables I and II. Rain prevented work for a few hours on several occasions and associated electrical leakage problems led to three spreads being repeated. Sample field curves illustrating the type-curves

encountered are shown in 12 drawings in the Appendix.

### INTERPRETATION

The resistivity soundings were interpreted with the aid of logarithmic graphs assuming horizontal, homogenous layers. The resulting models listed in Table III were checked by computation of theoretical curves using a BASIC LANGUAGE programme which follows the method of Ghosh, 1971, as given by O'Neill, 1975.

Interpreted profiles along the survey lines are given in figures 2 and 3. Some adjustment has been made on the models for spreads situated where the horizontal layering assumption is obviously wrong.

1

Correlation with water bore data suggests that using the equation S = K/R where S = salinity in mg/l K = 35 000 mg/l/ohm/m and R = resistivity in ohm/m provides a good first estimate of the relationship between resistivity and water salinity, i.e. porosity is reasonably constant/

-4.

F

Π

The increase in salinity with depth in most parts of the local aquifer has led to shallow bores, designed to tap the upper less saline water, being drilled. If these bores are heavily pumped, the water obtained rapidly becomes too saline for use. Masking of the true electrical picture because of the limits of resolution of surface electrical depth probes is another problem that is exacerbated by the stratified salinity zones. These difficulties preclude more than a rough guide being obtainable from the above relationship, even without taking into account variable porosity and electrical equivalence (refer Kunetz, 1966).

Figure 1 is a contour map of subsurface resistivities and indicates an area of higher resistivity northeast of Marion Bay that should give a low salinity water supply. The low salinity water zones are replenished by only local rain infiltration and can quickly be exhausted, with possible salt water intrusion, if pumped at an average rate greater than rainfall intake. An example of dewatering which could lead to change in the salt water/fresh water interface is evident at spread E on line 5 (Fig. 2), which is close to an active pumping bore (number 685008002). Annual rainfall averages near 500 mm but evaporation is close to 1 200 mm p.a. and hence rainfall intake to the aquifers will be small over most parts of the Peninsula.

#### RECOMMENDATIONS

i.

14th April, 1977.

GP:ST

It is recommended that the area 6 km northeast of Marion Bay be tested for a suitable water supply. A small supply might also be obtainable from under the hill 1 km west of Inneston.

Drilling sites cannot be established precisely from the regional survey data but detailed resistivity surveys in the low-salinity zones could be made to find the most suitable locations for test bores.

G. Pilkinglin

G. Pilkington, GEOPHYSICAL SERVICES SECTION.

### REFERENCES

GHOSH, D.P., 1971: Inverse filter co-efficients for the computation of apparent resistivity standard curves for a horizontally stratified earth. Geophysical Prospecting, 19, pp. 769-775.

KUNETZ, G., 1966: Principles of Direct Current Resistivity Prospecting. Geoexploration Monograph Series 1, No. 1.

-

,

O'NEILL, D.J., 1975: Improved Linear filter co-efficients for apparent resistivity computations. Australian Society of Exploration Geophysicists Bulletin, 6, pp. 104-109.

# SURVEY STATISTICS

•			
TABLE	I	• .	

Number o	f Spreads	by Line
<u>Line</u>	Number of	Spreads
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 X <b>z</b>		6 6 3 7 6 7 6 1 7 6 1 1
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Total	53

TABLE II

# Number of Spreads by electrode separation

Maximum Spread width (m)	Spreads	(km)
100	1	0.10
160	4	0.64
200	16	3.20
260	5	1.30
320	6	1.92
400	10	4.00
500	2	1.00
600	4	2.40
800	5	4.00

Spread (m)		1A	····	- 1B	1(	2	1D	· · · · · ·		1E	· · ·	1F	
Approx. elevation		15	18		22		. 25	· · ·	28	•		27	· .
	thickness	resistivity	t	r	t	r	t	r	t	r	t	r	
Layer 1	0.1	45	0.3	500	0.9	400	0.2	100	0.7	65	0.25	110	
2	0.4	500	0.55	80	0.4	50	2	1500	0.8	100	0.5	300	
3	1.0	100	4.8	500	3	300	4	94	2	350	1.6	· · 90	
4	1.2	400	37	130	38	130	20	55	4	60	15	400	
5	2.7	100	13	50	6.5	50		4000	5	300	45	68	
6	3.5	80	4	25		2000			24	60		1000	
•7	42	43	•	1000		· · · ·				1000		· · ·	· .•
8	24	21											
9		1000				·····			:		•		
Depth to basement	•	<b>75</b>	60		49		26		36	•5		62	•
•	• •	2A	2B		20		2D		2	E		2F	
Approx. elevation		6	6		6		6	5		4	······································	4	
	t	r	ť	r	t	r	<b>t</b>	r	· t	r	, t	r	
Layer 1	0.11	76	0.15	600	0.2	13	0.15	11	0.05	18	0.14	+ 35	٠
. 2	0.8	600	0.7	100	4.2	120	1.0	300	1.0	.300	0.5	5 300	
3	2.6	88	0.9	300	10	18	8	20	4	60	1.7	5 50 <sup>°°</sup>	
4	12	21	16	10	12	4.5	9	4.5	1.2	18	6	21	
5	6	4.5	15	4.5	25	2	23	- 2	8	4.	5 10	. 4.5	
6	11	2	1 <u>9</u>	2	13	1	· ·	100	.16	2	40	0.8	•
7		100	. 3	1		100	•	. **	15	1		100	
	•.			•				• .					
8			•	100				•		<b>10</b> 0		•	

.

.

÷

. .

.

3A

3B 3C

Approx. elev	vation 15			30	36						
	thickness	resistivit	y t	r	t	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					-`
Layer 1	0.11	70	4	46	0.33 230				•••		•
2	1.5	700	3.3	3 300	0.66 22	· · · · · · · · · · · ·				•	• •
3	10	100	40	34	3.9 80		4			•	
4	40	42		500	3.6 340			•			•
.5		500			20 30		9.				•
6			•		⇒500			\$			•
Depth to bas	sement 52			47	28.5						
	44	•	4	ŧВ	4C	4E		4E	4F	4G	· ·
Approx. elev	vation 6		<u>ج</u>	3	8	10		. 10	12	15	
-	<b>t</b>	<b>r</b>	t	r	t r		r t	r	t r	t r	
<b>•</b>		105	Λ 5	120	0 20 160		00 1.7	260		<u>a</u> 1. –	10
Layer 1	0.21	12)		120	0.52 100	1.3 2	.00 1.5	200	0.7 160	2,4 3	
Layer 1 2	0.21 0.88 1	250	0.65	400	<b>3.</b> 8 1100	1.3 2 2.4 3	80 2.3	45	2.3 25	2.4 3 2.6	30
Layer 1 2 3	0.21 0.88 1 2.0	250 72	0.65 2.3	400 11	3.8 1100 12 130	1.3 2 2.4 3 7.5	80     2.3       30     3.6	45 300	0.7 160   2.3 25   7 200	2.4 3 2.6 14	30 90
Layer 1 2 3 4	0.21 0.88 1 2.0 59	250 72 37	0.65 2.3 8	400 11 90	0.52   100     3.8   1100     12   130     60   17	1.3 2 2.4 3 7.5 97	80 2.3   30 3.6   15.5 86	45 300 20	0.7   160     2.3   25     7   200     25   20	2.4 3 2.6 14 79?	30 90 20
Layer 1 2 3 4 5	0.21 0.88 1 2.0 59	250 72 37 200	0.65 2.3 .8 40	400 11 90 20	3.8   1100     12   130     60   17     200	1.3 2 2.4 3 7.5 97 2	80 2.3   30 3.6   15.5 86   00 00	45 300 20 150	0.7   160     2.3   25     7   200     25   20     120	2.4 3 2.6 14 9 79? 3 15?	30 90 20 5
Layer 1 2 3 4 5 6	0.21 0.88 1 2.0 59	250 72 37 200	0.65 2.3 .8 40	400 11 90 20 300	3.8   1100     12   130     60   17     200	1.3 2 2.4 3 7.5 97 2	80 2.3 30 3.6 15.5 86	45 300 20 150	0.7   160     2.3   25     7   200     25   20     120	2.4 3 2.6 14 79? 15? 12	30 90 20 5 20

•••

Spread

Approx. elevation	L	+	. 6		6		8		1	8		9	
	thickness	resistivit	y t	r	t	r	t	r	t	r	t	r	
Layer 1	1.25	4.2	0.71	60	0.32	98	1.1	110	17.5	270	0.3	51 220	
2	1.27 .	10.5	0.90			470		700	19		·•·		•
3	76	1.9	2.1 1	40	2.7	 	2.0	. 520	•	220	ے جا	> 270	
4	100	30	13	16	0.9	300	24	13			- 54	21	
5		200	54	2	13	11	240?	30			•	200	• •
6			30	<i>3</i> 0	32 (0	2	-	1000					
7			2	00	60	. <u>.</u>	••	· ·	•			•	
8					•	1000				-1	:, -		
depth to basement	178	5	101	•	110		27	/ <b>/</b> /?		30		<b>9</b> 0	
Spread	61	A	6в		6	5	6	D		6e		6F	
Approx. elevation		4	4		4		1	5		8	•	18 r	
د اور بر کوچ کار دور در	thickness i	resisitivit	<b>y</b> y	<b>.</b>			Part in a	. <b>.</b> 	•	at <b>⊥</b>		· · · · · ·	
Layer 1	0.125	26	1.2	14	0.5	47	0.3	500	0.8	115	0.9	230	
2	1.7	65	2	3	0.65	235	0.9	100	0.8	220	0.45	35	
3	4	3	20	0.9	2	2.6	4	25	.10	25	12	150	•
4	35	1.35	. 10	12.5	20	1.3	2.3	133	25	12	15	19	
5	10	12.5	1	000	- 12	5	14	18	24	4	180?	64	•
6		1000			22	0.9	100	. 38	•	1000	•	1000	•
	ter in and	· · ·			• •	1000		1000	•		• .		
7	· · · ·	· · · ·		•		.1000				•			

5A 5B 5C 5D 5E

5F

		ed'e											
Spread		6G	61	I.	6	I.	(	6J	6	5K	61	<b>L</b>	
Approx. elevation	thickness	9 resistivity	6 t	<b>.r</b>	22 t	r	1; t	2 r	t	} r :	6 : t	r	• •
Layer 1	0.7	27	2.1	96	2	90	0.185	120	0.23	21	0.21	72	
2	3	40	2.0	500	20	220	0.5	50	4.4	110	3	· 110 <sub>.</sub>	•
•3	7	90	1.0	90	32	130	7.5	78	4	23	5	21	
4	48	2	41	1.5	15	3	5.8	250	18	4	31	2.4	
5	30	30	40	30	40	30	46	4	40	30	30	30	
6		1000		1000	1(	000	35	30		1000	•	1000	
7						· · · ·	- -	1000			•		
depth to basement		89	86		104	•	9	5	• • •	67	6	9	
						•				······································		• ·	
Spread		<b>6</b> M	En		<b>,60</b>	······································	6Р		ରେ				•
Approx. elevation	t.	6 <b>r</b>	6 t	r	6 t	r	t 6	r	t	+ r		i se	
Layer 1	0.2	140	0.25	78	0.77	52	• 0.2	10	0.45	37	, , ,		
2	0.25	55	0.35	390	1.9	,- 20	0.55	250	1.44	14.8			
3	0.8	30	1.8	38	26	2.2	4	14	12	3.1	•	. •	
4	3	10	65	1.95	20	30	13.2	2.3	30	100	•		· · ·
5	:35	4.6	35	30	•	1000	30	30	25	• 3.3	•		
6		50	1	000	•			1000	· · ·	1000	· .		· :
Depth to basement		?	102		49	· · ·		48		69	• •	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
· · · · ·			•			1 A A							

				 	. Assessed a surger in the first of a		وكاستكر سورودوه كاركومه الأحو الكاروب	and a management of a		miner damanderman	 	 					
		• •				12 A. A.		•			·						
11		F	<b>F</b>	<b>F</b>					[]]			·	· · · · · ·		1	1	7
المنسب بالم	to and the second	· Bilanger albit		 			-5	5-				 		· · · · · ·			

		15		15		 15	· · · · ·	12	. 9	)		6
Approx. elevation	thickness	resistivity	t,	r	t	r	t	r	t	r	t	r
Layer 1	0.24	360	0.64	85	0.35	100	0.2	80	0.4	14	0.2	4.2
2	0.36	79	2.8	43	0.3	20	1.8	13	1.6	35	0.94	20
3	1.6	36	15	. 9	2	115	5	10	5.5	10	1.2	70
. 4	8.6	20	20	180	3	80	.4.5	20	· 4	70	6.6	. 5.8
5	45	13	24	12	19	7	26	2.5	15	3	53	0.8
6		1000		1000		1000		1000		1000		1000
Depth to basement		56		62		25	3	8	26		•	62

# Spread

Approx. elevation and 45 personal and the 30 r < 2t 🕤 t r

¥.	Layer	1			• •	:	0.7	2		-75			0.	<u> </u> 	125	şî a d	
•		2			• •,		10.8	:		30		lana.	0.	8	12.	5	•
		3.	••			· .	7			155	•	·	2.	5	180		
		4	· ·					•		80	•	•	8.	4	48		•.
		5	:	-	· .								40	•	86		
		6					•					·. ·	61		9		
	·	7	•	· . ·			•						60	•	100	•	••
		8	••••			· . ·			•						. 4	14	
	Denth	+	n h	ocer	nont	•		2	,	•	•		•		2	•	

?

X

Depth to basement

## APPENDIX

,

.....

Showing typical Schlumberger resistivity depth sounding curves obtained from spreads 2A, 2F, 5B, 6B, 6C, 6F, 6I, 6M, 6Q, X, 7A and 7E.

# STENHOUSE BAY RESISTIVITY SURVEY SCHLUMBERGER VERTICAL ELECTRICAL SOUNDINGS SPREAD 2A

SOUTH AUSTRALIA-DEPARTMENT OF MINES

COMPILED G PILKINGTON 3/9/76



# STENHOUSE BAY RESISTIVITY SURVEY SCHLUMBERGER VERTICAL ELECTRICAL SOUNDINGS SPREAD 2F

SOUTH AUSTRALIA-DEPARTMENT OF MINES

COMPILED G. PILKINGTON 4/9/76

وتيا. فضاً:

PT

laid





SPREAD 5B



# STENHOUSE BAY RESISTIVITY SURVEY SCHLUMBERGER VERTICAL ELECTRICAL SOUNDINGS SPREAD 6C



# STENHOUSE BAY RESISTIVITY SURVEY SCHLUMBERGER VERTICAL ELECTRICAL SOUNDINGS SPREAD 6F

SOUTH AUSTRALIA-DEPARTMENT OF MINES

COMPILED G PILKINGTON 7/9/76





# STENHOUSE BAY RESISTIVITY SURVEY SCHLUMBERGER VERTICAL ELECTRICAL SOUNDINGS SPREAD 6M

ξ.

**P** 3

1.1

SOUTH AUSTRALIA-DEPARTMENT OF MINES

COMPILED G. PILKINGTON 8/9/76



# STENHOUSE BAY RESISTIVITY SURVEY SCHLUMBERGER VERTICAL ELECTRICAL SOUNDINGS SPREAD 60

SOUTH AUSTRALIA-DEPARTMENT OF MINES

COMPILED G. PILKING TON 8/9/76



# SPREAD X

STENHOUSE BAY RESISTIVITY SURVEY SCHLUMBERGER VERTICAL ELECTRICAL SOUNDINGS

SOUTH AUSTRALIA-DEPARTMENT OF MINES

COMPILED G. PILKINGTON 9/9/76

1

. .

1.1

b.s





•

· · · · ·

# STENHOUSE BAY RESISTIVITY SURVEY SCHLUMBERGER VERTICAL ELECTRICAL SOUNDINGS SPREAD 7E

SOUTH AUSTRALIA-DEPARTMENT OF MINES

COMPILED G. PILKINGTON 9/9/76

















•		•
,		
· · ·	×	•
	30	
	-	
	155	
33	•	
· · · ·	80	
		•
WATER		· ·
		-
:	. :	
1	•	ļ.
• • •		
· .	·	
		ľ. –
. · ·		
	Fig. 3	↓ ·
TH AUSTRALIA	SCALE 1:50000 horizontal	
VITY SURVEY	DATE: Nov. 1976	_
SECTION		
י ט <i>ו</i>	77-177	